arXiv:0708.0013v2 [physics.flu-dyn] 7 Aug 2007

Beltrami States for Compressible Barotropic Flows

B.K. Shivamoggi*and G.J.F. van Heijst
Technische Universiteit Eindhoven
NL-5600 MB Eindhoven
The Netherlands

February 1, 2008

Abstract

Beltrami states for compressible barotropic flows are deduced by minimizing the total kinetic energy while keeping the total helicity constant. A Hamiltonian basis for these Beltrami states is also sketched.

---

*Permanent Address: University of Central Florida, Orlando, FL 32816-1364

1 Introduction

It is well known (Moffatt [1]) that a significant class of exact solutions of the fluid dynamics equations emerges under the Beltrami condition - the local vorticity is proportional to the stream function. These Beltrami solutions are also known to correlate well with real fluid behavior - ex. the Larichev-Reznik [2] nonlinear dipole-vortex localized structure. The purpose of this paper is to give the Beltrami states for compressible flows. Immediate difficulties arise for the latter case, because the pressure field is now determined by thermodynamics and hence plays a dynamical role (and is no longer a passive variable as in incompressible flows where it simply adjusts instantaneously so as to keep the velocity field solenoidal and satisfy the given boundary conditions). Some of these difficulties are mitigated by assuming the fluid to be barotropic, i.e., the pressure is a single-valued function of the mass density - without this assumption, the compressible flow equations have to be closed by adding an equation of state and an equation for the evolution of internal energy.

2 Beltrami States for Compressible Flows

The equations governing compressible flows are (in usual notations) -

$$\frac{D\mathbf{v}}{Dt} = -\frac{1}{\rho}\nabla p \tag{1}$$

$$\frac{D\rho}{Dt} + \rho\nabla\cdot\mathbf{v} = 0 \tag{2}$$

from which, we have

$$\frac{\partial\omega}{\partial t} = \nabla\times(\mathbf{v}\times\omega) - \nabla\times\left(\frac{1}{\rho}\nabla p\right). \tag{3}$$

Assuming barotropic condition -

$$\nabla P \equiv \frac{1}{\rho}\nabla p \; or \; P \equiv \int\frac{dp}{\rho}. \tag{4}$$

equation (3) becomes

$$\frac{\partial\omega}{\partial t} = \nabla\times(\mathbf{v}\times\omega). \tag{5}$$

The Beltrami state is therefore given by

$$\omega = a\mathbf{v}. \tag{6}$$

a being an arbitrary function of space and time.

In order to see if this state has a variational characterization, i.e. if it is a minimizer of energy on an isohelicity surface, first note that equation (5), on using equation (2), can be rewritten as

$$\frac{D}{Dt}\left(\frac{\omega}{\rho}\right) = \left(\frac{\omega}{\rho}\right)\cdot\nabla\mathbf{v}. \tag{7}$$

Using equation (7), we have

$$\frac{D}{Dt}\left(\frac{\mathbf{v}\cdot\omega}{\rho}\right)=\left(\frac{\omega}{\rho}\right)\cdot\nabla\left(\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{v}^2-P\right). \tag{8}$$

Let S_L be a surface enclosing a volume V_L and moving with the fluid; the total helicity is given by

$$H_e\equiv\int_{V_L}\mathbf{v}\cdot\omega\ dV. \tag{9}$$

On noting the mass-conservation condition for a fluid element -

$$\frac{D}{Dt}(\rho\ dV)=0 \tag{10}$$

we have (Moffatt [3]),

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dH_e}{dt}&=\int_{V_L}\frac{D}{Dt}\left(\frac{\mathbf{v}\cdot\omega}{\rho}\right)\rho\ dV\\&=\int_{V_L}(\omega\cdot\nabla)\left(\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{v}^2-P\right)dV\\&=\int_{S_L}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}\cdot\omega)\left(\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{v}^2-P\right)dS.\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Assuming $\hat{\mathbf{n}}\cdot\omega=0$ on S_L, we obtain

$$H_e=const. \tag{12}$$

Consider now the minimizer of the energy -

$$E\equiv\int_{V_L}\frac{1}{2}\rho\mathbf{v}^2dV \tag{13}$$

on an isohelicity surface -

$$H_e=\int_{V_L}\mathbf{v}\cdot\omega\ dV=const. \tag{14}$$

It is given by

$$\delta\int_{V_L}\left[\frac{1}{2}\rho\mathbf{v}^2+\lambda(\mathbf{v}\cdot\omega)\right]dV=0 \tag{15a}$$

or

$$\int_{V_L}\left[\delta\left(\frac{\mathbf{v}^2}{2}\right)+\lambda\ \delta\left(\frac{\mathbf{v}\cdot\omega}{\rho}\right)\right](\rho\ dV)=0 \tag{15b}$$

or

$$\int_{V_L}\left[\mathbf{v}\cdot\delta\mathbf{v}+\lambda\left\{\left(\frac{\omega}{\rho}\right)\cdot\delta\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{v}\cdot\delta\left(\frac{\omega}{\rho}\right)\right\}\right](\rho\ dV)=0. \tag{15c}$$

which shows that a sufficient condition for the minimizer is that

$$\omega=b\rho\mathbf{v} \tag{16}$$

This is just the Beltrami state (6), with $a=b\rho$!

Using (16), equation (1) gives, for the Beltrami state,

$$P+\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{v}^2=const \tag{17}$$

everywhere, which, for the incompressible case, reduces to

$$\frac{p}{\rho}+\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{v}^2=const. \tag{18}$$

3 Hamiltonian Basis for Beltrami States

The Hamiltonian for the system of equations (1) and (2) is

$$H=\frac{1}{2}\int_V\psi\cdot\omega\ dV \tag{19}$$

where,

$$\rho\mathbf{v}=\nabla\times\psi \tag{20}$$

with the gauge condition -

$$\nabla\cdot\psi=0. \tag{21}$$

(20) implies $\partial\rho/\partial t=0$ - but this suffices if the goal is to get a grip over the final Beltrami state rather than follow the actual dynamics of the Beltramization process. One might want to explore the dynamics more closely, but this is generally a more difficult problem.

Let us choose ω to be the canonical variable and take the skew-symmetric operator to be

$$J\equiv-\nabla\times\left[\left(\frac{\omega}{\rho}\right)\times(\nabla\times(\cdot))\right]. \tag{22}$$

The Hamilton equation is then

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial\omega}{\partial t}=J\frac{\delta H}{\delta\omega}&=-\nabla\times\left[\left(\frac{\omega}{\rho}\right)\times(\nabla\times\psi)\right]\\&=-\nabla\times\left[\left(\frac{\omega}{\rho}\right)\times\rho\mathbf{v}\right]\\&=\nabla\times(\mathbf{v}\times\omega)\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

as required!

The Casimic invariants for this system are the solutions of

$$J\frac{\delta C}{\delta \omega} = -\nabla \times \left[\left(\frac{\omega}{\rho} \right) \times \left(\nabla \times \frac{\delta C}{\delta \omega} \right) \right] = \mathbf{0} \tag{24}$$

from which,

$$\frac{\delta C}{\delta \omega} = \mathbf{v} \tag{25}$$

so,

$$C = \int_V \mathbf{v} \cdot \omega \; dV \tag{26}$$

which is just the total helicity (9)!

The Beltrami state is the minimizer of H keeping C constant, and is given by

$$\frac{\delta H}{\delta \omega} = \lambda \frac{\delta C}{\delta \omega} \tag{27a}$$

or

$$\psi = \lambda \mathbf{v} \tag{27b}$$

or

$$\rho \mathbf{v} = \lambda \omega \tag{27c}$$

as before!

4 Discussion

In view of the emergence of a significant class of exact solutions of equations of fluid flows under the Beltrami condition and their correlation to real fluid behavior, one may wonder whether fluids have an intrinsic tendency towards Beltramization.

Though we do not have good understanding of this aspect, it is known (Moffatt [1]) that Beltramization provides the means via which the underlying system can accomplish ergodicity of the streamlines. This follows by noting that Beltramization corresponds to relaxation of the constraint

$$\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \left(P + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{v}^2 \right) = 0 \tag{28}$$

in steady compressible flows governed by

$$\mathbf{v} \times \omega = \nabla \left(P + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{v}^2 \right) \tag{29}$$

so that the streamlines are no longer confined to the surfaces given by

$$P + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{v}^2 = const \tag{30}$$

and become ergodic.

5 Acknowledgements

Our thanks are due to Professor Leon Kamp for helpful discussions.

References

[1] H.K. Moffatt: *In Whither Turbulence* Ed. J.L. Lumley, Springer-Verlag, (1990).

[2] V.D. Larichev and G.M. Reznik: *Oceanology* **16**, 547, (1976).

[3] H.K. Moffatt: *J. Fluid Mech.* **35**, 117, (1969).